

# THE BULLET

DONATIONS ACCEPTED

Official Publication of Western Missouri Shooters Alliance

September 2020

## A Biden-Harris Administration Will Make You an Offer You Can't Refuse

It's no secret that most in the legacy media do not support the Second Amendment; at least, not a proper interpretation of what that amendment actually protects. It's also no secret that most of those same purveyors of "news" will do everything they can to attack President Trump, and defend the Biden-Harris ticket—now the official Democrat ticket for President in 2020—any chance they can get.

A recent USA Today "Fact Check" is a perfect example of this obvious bias.

The impetus of this "Fact Check" was that someone on Facebook posted what was said to be intended as a hypothetical statement by VP candidate Kamala Harris. Based on her history of proposing and supporting a litany of anti-gun schemes, the post attributed to Harris the statement, "If elected and you don't surrender your guns, I will sign an executive order and the police will show up at your door."

So, USA Today is now "Fact Checking" random Facebook posts by private citizens? At least they haven't delved into "Fact Checking" satire.

Technically, USA Today is correct when it classifies the actual quote as "False." As far as we know, Harris has never said these exact words. But if one believes the person who made the post—that it intended it as a hypothetical statement that "isn't that much of a stretch" from Harris's true feelings—it's probably more true than "False."

When Harris was an actual presidential candidate, she did clearly state that, should Congress not enact several of her gun control ideas within 100 days of her taking office, she "will take executive action." At least USA Today acknowledges this, although they emphasize that she would, using Harris's words, focus on "reasonable gun safety laws."

But what, exactly, is a "reasonable" law, in the mind of someone like Harris, or her running mate, who is so unreasonably anti-gun?

She clearly supports gun bans, which are unreasonable to many Americans, and, more importantly, unconstitutional.

Harris is a co-sponsor of Sen. Dianne Feinstein's (D-Cal.) legislation that seeks to ban countless semi-automatic firearms. This includes, of course, the most popular rifle in America, the AR-15, which has been available to the public for more than half a century. In total, there are tens-of-millions of firearms currently in the hands of law-abiding citizens that Feinstein and Harris would like to see banned by the federal government.

That hardly seems "reasonable," unless you simply don't like the idea of law-abiding citizens being able to exercise their right to self-defense with the firearm of their choosing.

But what about the hypothetical line that "the police will show up at your door"? Well, Harris has made it clear that she doesn't believe Feinstein's ban goes far enough. It would allow those who currently own these firearms to continue to own them, as the ban would "just" be on the future manufacture, import, sale, and transfer of these guns. Harris, on the other hand, has stated her support for firearm confiscation.

While campaigning for president last year, during a stop in Londonderry, N.H., Harris told reporters that confiscation of commonly-owned semi-automatic firearms was "a good idea." Elaborating on her support for a compulsory "buyback" program, the senator added, "We have to work out the details—there are a lot of details—but I do... We have to take those guns off the streets." She also called for a "mandatory buyback program" during an October 3, 2019, MSNBC gun control forum and again during a November interview with NBC Nightly News.

So, if she supports the ban, and the "mandatory buyback" scheme, what if some people choose to not comply? How will she "take those guns off the street"? Perhaps police coming to your door is not out of the question. It seemed to be the plan for one of her fellow former presidential candidates, who her current running mate seems to want as his gun control czar.

USA Today's "Fact check" conveniently avoids any mention of the VP candidate's outspoken support of banning most semi-automatic firearms, which seems odd, considering that position is clearly what inspired the hypothetical quote. By avoiding her support of gun bans, the paper could also avoid her voicing support of a "mandatory buyback program," and, thus, avoid discussing what such a program actually is.

But we are happy to fill in USA Today's intentional blanks.

As we've said before, a "mandatory buyback" is simply an anti-gun euphemism for confiscation.

IN THIS ISSUE	
EVENTS	PAGE 2
LIBERTY NOTES	PAGE 3
PRESIDENT'S CORNER	PAGE 5

First, you cannot "buyback" something you never previously owned, and the government did not own the tens-of-millions of guns that would be targeted by this scheme.

Second, the term "buy" implies that both the seller and purchaser are willing participants in the transaction, and the ability to negotiate a price would, presumably, be involved. But if the "buyback" is "mandatory," then it does not matter if the seller is



*...dedicated to the restoration of the inalienable right to keep and bear arms as guaranteed by the 2nd Amendment*

**The Alliance is a regionally-based, grass-roots organization that seeks to:**

1. **Counter the designs of malicious legislators.**
2. **Confront the media's twisted portrayals of gun rights issues.**
3. **Politicize and activate gun owners in defense of their rights.**
4. **Acquaint the public with the true nature of the Second Amendment.**
5. **Network with other pro-gun groups to coordinate local, state and national strategies.**
6. **Train people in basic firearm safety and handgun defense.**
7. **Sponsor and support pro-gun legislation**
8. **Make politicians aware that gun owners are awakening from their accustomed apathy and**  
**WILL TOLERATE NO FURTHER EROSION OF THEIR FREEDOMS**

### **BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

#### **OFFICERS:**

Kevin Jamison, President  
Carl Smart, V-P  
Bill Bland, Recording Sec. &  
Membership Coordinator  
Bob Hanson, Treasurer

#### **BOARD MEMBERS:**

John Kurtz, Board Member  
Kim Marchant-Lucas, Board Member  
Eric Burger, Board Member  
Roger Thomas, Board Member  
Bill Shelley, Gun Show Director

#### **EX-OFFICIO:**

Richard Hime, Corporate Secretary  
Paul Allen, Gun Show Coordinator  
Gary Davis, Newsletter Coordinator

#### **CONTACT:**

- Business correspondence - WMSA, P O Box 11144, Kansas City, MO 64119
- Editorial correspondence - E-mail to: Bob.WMSA@gmail.com
- WMSA Hotline/Fax - (877) 333-WMSA (9672)
- Web page - [www.wmsa.net](http://www.wmsa.net)



#### **DISCLAIMERS:**

The opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the Board of Directors, Officers or the Western Missouri Shooters Alliance.

The writer, editor and others contributing to this publication are neither engaging in terrorist conspiracy nor advocating acts of violence against the government of the United States. Statements are presented to stimulate political discussion in real or hypothetical contexts pursued in the spirit of free inquiry.

All material contained herein is copyright © 2019 WMSA and **may** be reprinted unless otherwise specified, with attribution to this publication, author, and date of issue.

## **COMING EVENTS**

...

## **DATE CHANGE!**

### **WMSA**

**General Membership Meeting**  
**6:00 PM**

**Sept. 29, 2020**

Bass Pro Conservation Room  
Independence, MO

...

### **MVACA**

**Missouri Valley Arms Collectors Assn.**

**July 24-25, 2021**

KCI Expo Center  
Kansas City, MO

...

### **R. K. Shows**

**Sept. 12-13, 2020**

Cable-Dahmer Arena  
Independence, MO

Oct. 24-25, 2020  
KCI Expo Center

...

**Lake Lotawana Gun & Knife Show**

**To be announced**

29709 E. Alley Rd.  
Lake Lotawana, MO

**WANENMACHER'S TULSA ARMS SHOW**

**Nov. 14-15, 2020**

EXPO CENTER-EXPO SQUARE  
(TULSA FAIRGROUNDS)  
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

...

**American Legion Gun & Knife Show**

**To be announced**

16701 E. 40 Highway  
Independence, MO

...

**Call 877-333-WMSA  
or check the web site  
[www.wmsa.net](http://www.wmsa.net)**



BIDEN (Continued from page 1)

willing; the government has dictated you will sell. And if the government has dictated the sale, there will be no possibility of negotiating price; the government will dictate the price.

Finally, if it is “mandatory,” and you don’t take part in the “buyback,” the next obvious step is confiscation, and perhaps jail time, and that would clearly be done by police. In fact, a more accurate term for “mandatory buyback” would probably be “compensated confiscation,” with the understanding that the “compensation” will likely be far less than what you originally paid. Or, to paraphrase Don Corleone, the government will simply make you an offer you cannot refuse.

And we really don’t have to speculate on how the scheme might play out, as we’ve seen it implemented in Australia.

In 1996, following a high-profile shooting in Port Arthur, Tasmania, Australia’s states and territories adopted the federal National Firearms Agreement (NFA). The agreement set up stringent licensing requirements to possess firearms, requiring license applicants provide a “genuine reason” for owning a firearm; the agreement made clear that personal protection was not a genuine reason. The measure also targeted several types of commonly-owned firearms, and included a near total ban on civilian ownership of semi-automatic rifles and semi-automatic and pump-action shotguns.

With the ban, which did not allow for continued possession of firearms lawfully acquired before it went into effect, came an amnesty and compensation program. Through a massive public education campaign, gun owners were warned that they were required to turn their newly-prohibited firearms over to the government for a set price. Sounds exactly like what Harris supports.

We also don’t have to speculate on what would happen after, if a scheme like the one Harris supports were to be implemented. Australia has seen its ban fail, leading to new amnesty/confiscation schemes in spite of the growing understanding that such schemes don’t work. And because they don’t work, Australia continues to see efforts to push for more gun control laws—laws that Harris and USA Today would also likely consider “reasonable.”

So, we agree that Harris didn’t actually say what someone apparently hypothesized she might say, were she to tell the truth about her views on gun control. There is ample evidence to support the view that Kamala Harris does support the banning and confiscation of tens-of-millions of firearms from law-abiding citizens. After all, when discussing her support of a mandatory “buyback” scheme—which is confiscation—she did say, “We have to take those guns off the streets.” While they are generally in the homes of law-abiding gun owners, we understand what she means. She just hasn’t been honest enough to actually say such things.

So, kudos to USA Today for clearing up any confusion some may have had regarding a Facebook post by an individual who was, apparently, expressing her opinion of what Kamala Harris actually feels when it comes to gun bans. This was not an editorial, or a campaign ad, or a story from a news broadcast, or even a comment from a political candidate. Just a Facebook post.

That’s groundbreaking journalism. Look out memes, you’re next!

## LIBERTY NOTES

By Kevin L. Jamison

It is a Good Day for Liberty.

The American Bar Association is opposed to Stand Your Ground laws and Citizen’s Arrest laws. It finds both racist. I could explain why they find the laws racist but the explanation is tenuous and perhaps imaginary. More African Americans have invoked the “stand your ground” defense than white Americans. George Zimmerman did NOT invoke the “stand your ground” defense.

I had occasion to view the three “John Wick” movies. These movies are extended gunfights in which extras are fed into the hero’s gun muzzle. Exciting, but unrealistic entertainment. Versions 4 and 5 are being simultaneously filmed at this time. Perhaps one of them will go into the legal consequences of gunfights. If not, I am still selling my DVD “Missouri Concealed Carry and Self-Defense Law.” I am selling it out of the office.

Senator Rand Paul was attacked by a mob as he left the White House after the President’s convention speech. If a US Senator is not safe in front of the White House what will happen to the rest of us? Senator Paul was rescued by a group of police officers. We are usually left to our own devices.

There are five million new gun owners this year. Motivated by virus and riots people who have never owned guns before have bought firearms for self-defense. Gun companies and gun organizations have posted gun safety videos for their benefit. None of the anti-gun rights groups who claim to be acting in the interests of gun safety have done so. It is still an open question if these purchases will change the new gun owner’s voting habits. Still, this indicates a groundswell of public opinion. Five million more people know that that when the usual suspects claim that guns are sold with no questions asked; they are lying. When they claim that there is no background check system, they are lying.

The Federal Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit has struck down California’s magazine ban. This is cause for rejoicing. The bad news is that this is a ruling by a three judge panel of the court. Past experience has been that when we receive a favorable ruling, California asks for a re-hearing by the complete court and political correctness is restored. Still, every victory is a small step forward. If President Trump is given four more years to appoint judges we

Liberty(Continued on page 4)

**Liberty** (Continued from page 3)

will have final victories. The President appoints all federal judges, not just the Supreme Court. Who is elected president affects every person and cause placed on trial.

I have heard that a church in St. Joseph did a gun buy up. Such stunts have never had any effect on crime. They give warm fuzzy feelings to participants and may allow killers to dispose of guns. They give the anti-gun crowd an all-day sucker and feeling of accomplishment. These stunts keep them busy and out of mischief so I do not oppose them. If they really wanted to end violence they could use the money to process the rape kit backlog. This will reduce some violence although not much of it is "gun violence." They could also use it to fund a witness protection program. Such a program is likely to break down the wall of silence surrounding criminal activity. Neither of these programs allows officials to stand behind a table of rusty guns and pretend they have accomplished something.

I have replaced a patch of weeds with a rock garden. It occurs to me now that when Antifa shows up to stone me I have given them plenty of ammunition. It seemed like a good idea at the time. On the other hand, I now have plenty of rocks with which to respond; if it comes down to rocks.

A Trump supporter has been murdered by a mob of leftist "protesters." He was part of a convoy of Trump supporters in Portland Oregon the mob shot at. He appears to have been targeted because of right-wing hat he wore. A pro-Trump caravan in Los Angeles was also fired upon. There were no casualties. So, we cannot publicly support a politician without being targeted. A weaker people would back down. We shall not.

Missouri Governor Parsons brought the legislature into special session. Among the bills he wanted them to consider was increasing the penalties for giving guns to children without parental permission. This sounds like a good idea. But, it criminalizes grandpa handing a rifle to a new shooter. The legislature changed the bill to confine it to transfers with criminal intent. This is a mark against our Governor; however his opposition this election season is worse. I hate to advise voting for the lesser of two evils however in this election the lesser evil is so much better than the other that we must vote for him. This also indicates we must keep an eye on him.

I saw an article on public speaking. This is a valuable skill. People hate public speaking. On the list of fears it beats out death. Still, people do it every day. They speak to people in the elevator, at parties, at work, in bars and in church. Radio call in programs offer a larger audience. When you call in you will talk first to a call screener. This person's job is to select interesting calls. He wants calls from people who will be interesting to the audience; people who are marginally coherent. The caller must give this man a single sentence which summarizes his call. Something general to the effect that the caller knows what the founding fathers really meant is not enough unless the caller has some special research. Some small aspect of the Second Amendment is wanted. A personal experience with self-defense, with buying guns, with gun shows, why you personally own guns. The call itself must have one theme and the call must not deviate from that theme. Most callers spend time thanking the station for taking the call. They are in business to take these calls. Be polite but not fawning. Have the theme of the call written out so that you are not diverted into side issues. If the moderator calls trying to drag you off on a side issue, tell him you called to talk about your theme. Do not drown them with statistics. One statistic might be remembered. More than one will not be. Stories are the best. A small town Jewish carpenter did very well telling parables. Write down a few key words to avoid the ums and ahs and "you knows" that are the hesitation marks of speech. Hesitation marks make a story less believable. Some call-in programs have a theme of the day and tying your theme to the program's theme may be difficult. Do not try to link a program on potholes to the Second Amendment. This will have you put down as a local crank and your calls will be disregarded. Many people try to be humorous. Professional comedians make a living because humor is hard. Most people who think they are funny are not. Stick to the facts. Do not be insulting. My Dad was a great salesman. He told me that you don't make money knocking the other guy's product. You make money by promoting your own product. Much of political advertising is negative, but at least stay away from insulting attacks.

In this election our rights still hang by a threat. The next president will appoint at least one, probably two Supreme Court Justices. The Democrats threaten to expand the court so they can appoint a majority. We can lose all of our progress in a few months of a Biden Administration. If there is money left from a vacation not taken or a few dollars put aside for toilet paper; donate it to the candidate of your choice. If you have a few spare minutes a day, spend them on the campaign. The race focuses on President Trump, but we must keep control of the Senate. Put out signs, make calls do whatever is possible.

In the words of two great time travelers, "Be excellent to each other!"

We shall overcome.

LAFAYETTE GUN CLUB  
Higginsville, MO

IHMSA—USPSA/IPSC—SASS  
Sporting Clays  
Match & Membership info  
[www.lgconline.org](http://www.lgconline.org)

**KEVIN L. JAMISON**  
Attorney at Law



2614 NE 56th Terrace  
GLADSTONE, MO 64119  
KLJamisonLaw.com  
KLJamisonLaw@earthlink.net

OFFICE: 816-455-2669  
RES: 816-453-2376  
FAX: 816-413-0696





## PRESIDENT'S CORNER

## NOTHING

*K. L. Jamison*

The major media rejoices in an event of historic magnitude. Kamala Harris is Joe Biden's Vice-Presidential pick. What does that mean to us? Nothing.

Joe Biden has been very clear about his anti-gun stance. Before the primaries were finished, he had appointed Beto O'Rourke as his "gun czar." This is the fellow who was asked if he would confiscate guns and enthusiastically replied that he would. Mr. Biden has long given support to anti-gun efforts. He even advised persons threatened in their homes to fire a double-barreled shotgun into the air or through the door. Such actions were denounced as illegal by prosecutors, yet he has not backed away from his advice.

Ms. Harris is a rabid anti-gun rights politician. She hates our people and regards us as terrorists. She is a solid addition to the anti-gun rights team Mr. Biden intends to take to the White House.

Alan Korwin, publisher of Bloomfield Press, argues strongly that Ms. Harris is not qualified to be vice-president because she is not qualified to be president. The Constitution requires that the President be a "natural born citizen of the United States." A book on government available to the founding fathers defined a "natural born citizen" as someone born in the nation by citizens of that nation. Ms. Harris was born in the United States. Her parents were permanent residents of the United States. One was from Jamaica and the other from India.

I think this constitutional provision must be considered in light of the Fourteenth Amendment. That amendment declares that anyone born or naturalized in the United States is a citizen of the United States. It does not confine itself to ex-slaves.

Current statutes state that persons born in a foreign country of a single US citizen parent can be a US citizen. There is some paperwork involved with the government immigration office. It can be as simple as reporting the birth to the US embassy. Neither of these sources mentions "natural born citizen." Appending "natural born" to the word citizen under presidential qualification and nowhere else indicates it must mean something. The Supreme Court may rule, if required to do so, that it is not bound by the definitions in a foreign book by a foreign author.

Given that the argument exists, I do not think it is a winning argument for us. Given Ms. Harris's race it is likely to be denounced as racist and we get enough of that as it is. It is just the sort of technical argument that causes people's eyes to glaze over. With all the rights she wants to repeal, all the taxes she wants to raise and the socialist schemes she supports we do not even have to reach this technical qualification for the The Job.

# NOTICE

**The September Meeting of the Western Missouri Alliance has been re-scheduled due to conflict with another requirement for the room by Bass Pro.**

**The rescheduled date is Tuesday, September 29, 2020 at 6:00 PM**

**Note the earlier start time as Bass Pro is now closing at 8:00 PM and we must be out by then.**

## Sad News—Another Member Lost

Russell B. Krohn, 65, of Blue Springs, Missouri died peacefully at home on August 18, 2020 following a brief battle with renal cancer.

Russ was an avid hunter, fisherman, and enjoyed training his dogs. He belonged to the Conservation Federation of Missouri, the Missouri Prairie Foundation, the NRA, and **the Western Missouri Shooters Association**. Russ also belonged to several dog clubs including Sho-Me State American Pit Bull Terrier Club, Agility Ability of Greater Kansas City, and the Greater Kansas City Dog Training Club



## California's Ban on Large Capacity Magazines "Goes Too Far" -- Ninth Circuit Strikes Ban as Unconstitutional

In *Duncan v. Becerra*, a case supported by the NRA, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit held that California's ban on the possession of "large capacity magazines" (LCMs) violates the Second Amendment.

The decision affirms a ruling last March by Federal District Court Judge Roger T. Benitez, who ruled, unequivocally, that the California law was unconstitutional. The panel's lengthy and considered opinion was written by Judge Kenneth K. Lee, joined by Judge Consuelo M. Callahan. Judge Barbara M. G. Lynn wrote a dissenting opinion, arguing that the California ban was constitutional.

The case centers on California Penal Code §32310, which prior to 2016, imposed restrictions on the manufacture, importation, sale, transfer, and receipt of magazines capable of holding more than ten rounds. In 2016, the law was amended to add an outright ban prohibiting nearly everyone in the state from possessing such magazines. California residents who owned LCMs were given the option of removing the magazine from the state, selling it to a firearms dealer, permanently modifying the magazine so that it was incapable of holding over ten rounds, or surrendering it to law enforcement for destruction. Failure to do so could result in imprisonment for up to a year.

Judge Lee, who was appointed to the Ninth Circuit by President Trump last year, begins by observing that California's near-total ban of LCMs "strikes at the core of the Second Amendment –the right to armed self-defense. Armed self-defense is a fundamental right rooted in tradition and the text of the Second Amendment. "California's law not only banned standard-issue magazines for many handguns commonly used for self-defense, but made "half of all magazines in America ...unlawful to own in California."

Using a two-prong test to determine the constitutional validity of Cal. Penal Code §32310, the court first asked whether the law burdened conduct protected by the Second Amendment; if so, the second inquiry focused on the appropriate level of review (level of scrutiny) to apply in evaluating the law.

Under the first prong, the court found the law did burden protected conduct. LCMs were "arms "protected by the Second Amendment "for a simple reason" –without a magazine, many weapons, including "quintessential" self-defense weapons like handguns, "would be useless. "LCMs were neither dangerous nor unusual, and firearms or magazines "holding more than ten rounds have been in existence –and owned by American citizens –for centuries. "LCMs had "never been subject to longstanding prohibitions "on possession or use.

Not only did Section 32310 "strike at core Second Amendment rights "by prohibiting LCMs for self-defense within the home, "any law that comes close to categorically banning the possession of arms that are commonly used for self-defense imposes a substantial burden on the Second Amendment."

Significantly, in the second prong determination of the appropriate level of review, the court selected strict scrutiny, the highest possible level, as the proper standard. Strict scrutiny requires that a state law be narrowly tailored to achieve a compelling interest. While the government interests here were compelling, a "statewide blanket ban on possession everywhere and for nearly everyone "was not narrowly tailored or the least restrictive means. The law failed even if a less demanding level of scrutiny was applied, and for many of the same reasons –a lack of anything approximating a reasonable fit between the restrictions imposed and the government's asserted objectives.

Addressing California's "implicit suggestion that the Second Amendment deserves less protection "than other fundamental rights, the court rejected this outright. The Second Amendment is not some outdated "relic relevant only during the era of Publius and parchments. It is a right that is exercised hundreds of times on any given day "by law abiding Americans, including women fleeing abusive relationships, members of the lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) communities who are disproportionately the victims of hate crimes, and communities of color that "have a particularly compelling interest "in exercising Second Amendment rights. "The Second Amendment "provides one last line of defense "when the state cannot or will not "step in to protect them. "We mention these examples, "declared Judge Lee, "to drive home the point that the Second Amendment is not a second-class right, "nor is "self-defense a dispensation granted at the state's mercy."

The ruling is a gratifying one by the Ninth Circuit, a court that, in past rulings, has been not especially protective of the Second Amendment. It is anticipated that the State of California will seek en banc review of this ruling. Your NRA will keep you updated on the developments in this important case.

### ELECTION FOR BOARD OF DIRECTORS

September is election time for three members of the WMSA Board of Directors. Each year three board members are elected for a three year term in office. This year the board members whose term is expiring are: Kevin Jamison, Bob Hanson and Kim Marchant-Lucas (who was appointed to fill the opening created when Larry Swickard resigned).

All three have indicated they are seeking re-election. No other nominations were made at the July meeting but nominations may be made at the September meeting by members present.

Members present will elect the three board members and the board will select the four officers for the next year at the October Board Meeting.



## Violence, Arms, and Double Standards



We Americans don't tend to tolerate royalty or royal behavior. If we did, we'd still be British subjects. But there's a certain stench of self-importance coming from the Beltway these days, and folks are starting to get wind of it.

"The hypocrisy of the pampered political elite on the topic of personal safety is reaching breathtaking new levels," writes the National Rifle Association's Institute for Legislative Action. "No longer content to merely deprive the citizenry of the means with which to defend themselves, politicians in some jurisdictions are depriving residents of police protection as well. All the while, these contemptible figures have shown a keen interest in making arrangements to secure their own safety."

*Let 'em eat cake, they say.*

The worst of these culprits, of course, aren't the dying breed of old-school liberals — those who choose not to own guns but won't begrudge the rest of us from owning them. No, the most contemptible scoundrels are the gun-grabbers who at the same time insist that their own security detail is well armed. As the NRA continues, "Anti-gun political actors have always ignored the logical implications of their own policies. Hillary Clinton travels with a bevy of bodyguards, as did Democrat mega-donor and NRA opponent Harvey Weinstein. According to a 1986 account from United Press International one of Sen. Ted Kennedy's (D-Mass.) private bodyguards was arrested 'when he arrived at the Capitol with two submachine guns and ammunition.'"

Now more than ever, we feel the need for security. We see the burning, the looting, the rioting, the violence. And we see the utter disregard that these — let's call them what they are — *Joe Biden voters* have for law and order generally and law enforcement in particular.

We know, too, that the latest efforts by Biden and his handlers to blame the violence in our streets on President Donald Trump, and on "right-wing militias, white supremacists, [and] vigilantes," is an utter falsehood. As Erick Erickson points out in devastating and exhaustive fashion, the Left has been driving our nation's political unrest and violence for more than a decade. "Democrats have settled on a line that the current violence is happening in Donald Trump's America," he writes. "They ignore it is only happening in Democrat-controlled cities and is being committed not by Trump supporters, but by far-left activists. They move on from that point as quickly as they moved on from the attempted mass assassination of members of Congress when they found out a Bernie Bro pulled the trigger."

Is it any wonder that August was another record month for gun sales, with a 57% increase in sales compared to August 2019? "There were at least 1.6 million sales in August 2020," reports The Washington Free Beacon. "Only two previous Augusts had broken a million sales, though limitations in FBI reporting means that not every gun sale is captured in the data. August represents the sixth month in a row to set a new sales record with March seeing the most gun sales of any month in the history of the FBI background check system."

The people are arming themselves for a reason. And let's be clear: That reason has nothing to do with "right-wing militias, white supremacists, [and] vigilantes."

"This country is owned by We the People. We wrote a Constitution. We put down what we wanted to put in it. We can amend it when we wish. And it is not up to nine people to tell 330 million Americans how to live."

—Justice Neil Gorsuch

"We shall soon be in a world in which a man may be howled down for saying that two and two make four, in which people will persecute the heresy of calling a triangle a three-sided figure, and hang a man for maddening a mob with the news that grass is green."

—G.K. Chesterton

"No passion so effectually robs the mind of all its powers of acting and reasoning as fear."

—Edmund Burke

*"Let justice be done though the heavens should fall." —John Adams*



## This newspaper wants lawful Americans disarmed

If there is one thing that brings me joy, it's when the media throws a fit.

As a general rule of thumb, when the media is ticked, you know Americans are doing something right. Let's look at the renowned *Los Angeles Times*. The LA Times has been one of the leading organs of political correctness in American mainstream media. Like its eastern seaboard counterparts, the LA Times frowns upon Americans arming themselves. In particular, they are fuming about Americans buying record numbers of firearms during the Wuhan virus pandemic.

For them, American gun owners are dangerous rubes that ought to stay in their homes and pray that increasingly politicized law enforcement services can save them should they face any criminal threat.

Given today's increasingly dysfunctional political affairs, I would not bet on many public institutions to save me. In contrast Fake News media pundits and their professional managerial class colleagues have the luxury of living in safe neighborhoods, which are often gated and shielded from the harsh realities of the socially decaying USA. Furthermore, some of the more affluent rungs of this class have the privilege of being able to contract private security. For that reason, millions of Americans are getting strapped and giving worrywart journalists the middle finger. And I say, "Keep at it!"

Peace,

*José Niño*

P.S. The media is one of the main legacy institutions attacking the Second Amendment. It plays a not-so trivial role in demonizing gun owners. It is small wonder why the majority of viewers with their eyes glued to the Idiot Box become so brainwashed after hours of conditioning. That's the name of the game. Brainwashing isn't inevitable though. With the right resources and dissident information, people can unplug from the matrix of disinformation.

## Is an anti-gun wave coming to the Lone Star State?

I lived in Texas for most of my life since arriving in the states in the late 90s. So, I've witnessed both the demographic, cultural, and political transformation of the state. Needless to say, it has been a mixed bag. And in recent times, it may be trending badly.

Since Robert Francis "Beto" O'Rourke's surprising 2018 effort against Ted Cruz, the Looney Left has been doubling down in attempting to turn the Lone Star State into a facsimile of the dystopian cesspool that is modern-day California. They're getting plenty of help from the likes of billionaire oligarch, Michael Bloomberg. Although Bloomberg failed in his efforts to get elected as president, where he's most dangerous is in his efforts to disarm law-abiding Americans. Michael Bloomberg has an extensive network of gun control organizations to do his bidding like Everytown for Gun Safety. They've grown rapidly over the years and have picked off state legislatures one by one. Now, he has his sights set on Texas.

With the 2020 elections right around the corner, Bloomberg is ready to drop big \$\$\$ on the Lone Star State. Get one of my *Liberty Conservative News* pieces on Bloomberg's latest efforts to turn Texas into an anti-gun bastion.

Talk soon,

*José Niño*

P.S. It's game time.

Election season is in full swing and all the demagogues are coming out of the woodwork. One of the main issues they will campaign on is gun control.

Voters radicalized by years of government schooling and media disinformation are looking for saviors. Thanks to the likes of billionaire sugar daddies such as Michael Bloomberg, gun control fanatics now have their wish. But nothing is ever set in stone in politics. Second Amendment activists must delay the gun controller's launch in November. But that's only one piece of the puzzle. We've gotta put some points on the board as well. That's why it's so important to have an impact during the legislative season.

None of this will happen overnight, however. Foundations must be built.

## Gun grabbers want to turn this midwestern state into an anti-gun playground

My experience working in grassroots lobbying has shown me how deep Gun Control Inc's tentacles go. Gun control groups are by no means organic, grassroots efforts. To the contrary, they are propped up by the generous donations of billionaire oligarchs such as Michael Bloomberg. Yes, the short man's presidential campaign turned out to be a total dud. But that does not mean he's completely out of the game.

Some of the most dangerous people in politics are not elected officials. It's those who work behind closed doors who are capable of dishing out tremendous amounts of damage. Their efforts go beyond election cycles and they definitely play the long game. They generally start at the local level, where no one is looking, and begin to whittle away at city councils, county commissions, and make their way up to the state level. After a decade or so, they'll have a solid power base. This is how you win in politics.

Bloomberg recognizes this, which is why he uses organizations such as Everytown for Gun Safety as proxies for his anti-gun legislative fantasies. Everytown's Victory Fund is specifically targeting the state of Iowa, among others, this election cycle. It's a red state for sure, but the Left is willing to take short-to mid-term losses as a means of attaining their broader goal. They are planting the seeds in Iowa right now and will do the same in many other red states. Learn more about the gun-grabbing ghouls' plot to flip Iowa in my post for *Liberty Conservative News*.

Talk soon,

*José Niño*





## Shooting Range Creates A Secret Gun Owners List

Last year new terminals appeared at the lake City Shooting Range. They required name, address, age and the rest of the usual personal information. This could all be avoided by swiping your driver's license or Conservation Commission identity card. This didn't set well with me, but I slid past it. Then a couple of weeks ago I wanted to attend a class offered by the Department of Conservation. Online they wanted personal information and a password. I have too many passwords already, so I called the phone number. The clerk was very nice and took my information to enroll me into the class. When I asked why this information was necessary, I was told it was so they could open an account for me for quality control to make the programs better. Also, so they could send after event surveys and inform me when of future classes I might be interested in. I was told that the information would only be kept for seven years and would not be sold. I was unconformable with this and asked to speak to a supervisor who could address my concerns. I received the same answers. I went up a couple more levels and got the same response. I called my representative and asked him to inquire about this. In my conversation with the last bureaucrat it was mentioned that since I had contacted my representative, he mentioned it not me, I was serious about this issue. So, contacting our representatives does make a difference. I was told that I was the first person to question this. Also, that Mickey Mouse shoots a lot at the conservation commission ranges. I may not be alone in my concerns.

It occurred to me that the Conservation Commission has compiled a list of people who shoot at their ranges and thus a list of gun owners. This is very dangerous. I have been assured this information is kept private but how long will that last when an anti-gun group sues for this information? The Highway Patrol has already illegally surrendered the list of concealed weapons license holders without any consequences so what protections do we really have?

The only information the Conservation Commission legitimately needs is a count of the number of people who use the facilities or attend classes. This is what we need to do. All of us need to contact our senators and representatives in writing or in person if possible and demand they stop this data mining immediately. At the very least we must have the right to opt out of this. This would give them a count of users without violating our privacy. We must stand up in mass to put an end to this before our opponents get into office because they could do us immense harm with this information. **We all must act now**

When even one American — who has done nothing wrong — is forced by fear to shut his mind and close his mouth, then all Americans are in peril."

—Harry S. Truman

"Those who have most consistently undermined the police and other elements of law enforcement are among those most shocked by the escalation of crime and violence."

—Thomas Sowell

"Where there is no law, there is no liberty; and nothing deserves the name of law but that which is certain and universal in its operation upon all the members of the community."

—Benjamin Rush

"Democrats want to grab our guns AND abolish the police. What's left to defend against home invasions and looters then?"

—James Woods

"I predict future happiness for Americans if they can prevent the government from wasting the labors of the people, under the pretense of taking care of them."

-- Thomas Jefferson

"My reading of history convinces me that most bad government results from too much government."

-- Thomas Jefferson

**BULL'S EYE TRADING**  
Bethany, MO  
660-425-7888 10am - 6pm Mon-Sat

**Dorian Culver, LUTCF**  
*Producer*

801 S. Commercial St.  
Harrisonville, MO 64701  
dorian@staffordagency.com

**Stafford & Stafford**  
INSURANCE, INC.

Local 816-884-4800  
K.C. 816-380-4860  
Fax 816-884-4833



## We're having a raffle!

**We're raffling off a Henry American Eagle Lever Action Rifle .22 Cal.**

**We will have a limited number of raffle tickets.** We will not mail tickets to members to sell. Tickets will be available at all General meetings and at events where WMSA has a table. Additionally to build our treasury for the coming year, this year's raffle is aimed towards gaining more WMSA members.

**The tickets are \$5 each or 5 for \$20.** As an added incentive, bring in a new member at a General Membership Meeting and get a free raffle ticket. Any current member who brings in a new WMSA member gets a free raffle ticket for each new member added to our rolls.

Bring your prospective new member to a General membership meeting and when the new member completes the membership application and pays their dues, you are handed a ticket, no charge! The drawing for the rifle will be at the January 2021 RK Gun Show at the KCI Expo Center.

Go recruit new members, get a free ticket for every member and exhaust our limited number of tickets.



**Tickets can also be obtained via mail by sending a check to the WMSA, P.O. Box 11144, Kansas City, MO 64119.**

If you win, what will you get? You get more than just the rifle.

## Henry American Eagle Lever Action .22 cal Rifle Hard carrying case

**Tickets are \$5 each or 5 for \$20**

Here's your chance for a Henry Rifle with hard case.

Come to our next General meeting and buy your tickets!

---



## MEMBERSHIP APPLICATION

## WESTERN MISSOURI SHOOTERS ALLIANCE

The Alliance is a regionally-based, grass-roots organization that seeks to;

- 1) Counter the designs of malicious legislators.
- 2) Confront the media's twisted portrayals of gun rights issues.
- 3) Politicize and activate gun owners in defense of their rights.
- 4) Acquaint the public with the true nature of the Second Amendment.
- 5) Network with other pro-gun groups to coordinate local, state and national strategies.
- 6) Train people in basic firearm safety and handgun defense.
- 7) Sponsor and support pro-gun legislation.
- 8) Make politicians aware that gun owners are awakening from their accustomed apathy and  
**WILL TOLERATE NO FURTHER EROSION OF THEIR FREEDOMS!**

Date: \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City: \_\_\_\_\_

State: \_\_\_\_\_ Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

Occupation: \_\_\_\_\_

Mail to:  
**Western Missouri Shooters Alliance**  
**P.O. Box 11144**  
**Kansas City, MO 64119**

Hotline (877) 333-WMSA  
[www.wmsa.net](http://www.wmsa.net)

County: \_\_\_\_\_

Home Phone: (\_\_\_\_) \_\_\_\_ - \_\_\_\_\_

Cell Phone: (\_\_\_\_) \_\_\_\_ - \_\_\_\_\_

E-Mail \_\_\_\_\_

NRA member? \_\_\_\_ Registered Voter? \_\_\_\_

Check membership type:

\_\_\_\_ Annual  
 \$30

\_\_\_\_ Senior (65+)  
 \$20

\_\_\_\_ Associate  
 \$15 (spouse, no newsletter)

\_\_\_\_ 3 Year Annual  
 \$87

\_\_\_\_ 3 Year Senior  
 \$57 (65+)

\_\_\_\_ 3 Year Associate  
 \$28 (spouse, no newsletter)

\_\_\_\_ Sponsor  
 \$100 (4 business card  
 ads per year.)

\_\_\_\_ Sponsor  
 \$250 (12 business card  
 ads per year.)

*Dedicated to the restoration of the inalienable right to keep and bear arms as guaranteed by the Second Amendment*



**Western Missouri Shooters Alliance**  
**P O Box 11144**  
**Kansas City, MO 64119**

*...dedicated to the restoration of the inalienable right to keep and bear arms as guaranteed by the 2nd Amendment*

PRESORT STD  
 AUTO  
 U.S. POSTAGE PAID  
 OAK GROVE, MO  
 PERMIT NO. 60

**\*REMINDER\*** Next General Meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, Sept. 29, 2020 at 6:00 PM  
 Meeting located at Bass Pro Conservation Room, Independence, MO

## CONTACT INFORMATION - *Let them know what you think!*

### Missouri State Senate

Jason Holsman—D—Dist 7  
 201 W Capitol Ave., Rm. 421  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-6607

Mike Cierpiot—R—Dist 8  
 201 W Capitol Ave., Rm. 431  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-1464

S. Kiki Curls—D—Dist 9  
 201 W Capitol Ave., Rm. 434  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-3158

John Rizzo—D—Dist 11  
 201 W Capitol Ave., Rm. 425  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-3074

Dan Hegeman—R—Dist 12  
 201 W Capitol Ave., Rm. 332  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-1415

Lauren Arthur—D—Dist 17  
 201 W Capitol Ave., Rm. 331A  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-5282

Denny Hoskins—R—Dist 21  
 201 W Capitol Ave., Rm. 431  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-4302

Ed Emery—R—Dist 31  
 201 W Capitol Ave., Rm. 420  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-2108

Rob Schaaf—R—Dist 34  
 201 W Capitol Ave., Rm. 423  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-2183

### Missouri House

Jim Neely—R—Dist 8  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 110A  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-0246

Sheila Solon—R—Dist. 9  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 311  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-3666

Bill Faulkner—R—Dist 10  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 200BC  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-9755

Brenda Shields—R—Dist. 11  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 407A  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-3643

Kenneth Wilson—R—Dist 12  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 312  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-9760

Vic Alfred—R—Dist 13  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 400CC  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-6593

Matt Sain—D—Dist 14  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 101G  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-3618

Jon Carpenter—D—Dist 15  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 103-A  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-4787

Mark Ellebracht—D—Dist 17  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 135-BA  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-1218

Wes Rogers—D—Dist 18  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 135BB  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-2199

Ingrid Burnett—D—Dist 21  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 105G  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-8310

Bill Kidd—R—Dist 20  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 403-B  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-3674

Robert Sauls—D—Dist. 21  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 105H  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-5701

Jonathan Patterson—R—Dist 30  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 405A  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-0907

Dan Stacy—R—Dist 31  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 411 B  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-8636

Jeff Coleman—R—Dist 32  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 115-J  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-1487

Donna Pfautsch—R—Dist 33  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 404-B  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-9766

Vacant Dist 34  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm.  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-

Keri Ingle—D—Dist 35  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 109E  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-1459

Doug Richey—R—Dist 38  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 116A1  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-2238

Peggy McLaughlin—R—Dist 39  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 409 B  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-1468

Dean Dohrman—R—Dist 51  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 303A  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-2204

Brad Pollitt—R—Dist 52  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 201-CA  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-9774

Glen Kolkmeier—R—Dist 53  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 402  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-1462

Dan Houx—R—Dist 54  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 235BA  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-3580

Mike Haffner—R—Dist 55  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 115 E  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-3783

Jack Bondon—R—Dist 56  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 403A  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-2175

Rodger Reedy—R—Dist 57  
 201 West Capitol Ave Rm. 115-C  
 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
 (573) 751-3971

## WEB ADDRESSES - *Get educated!*

Gun Owners of America  
[www.gunowners.org](http://www.gunowners.org)  
 703-321-8585

Jews for the Preservation of Firearms Ownership  
[www.JPFO.org](http://www.JPFO.org)  
 262- 673-9745

The National Rifle Association  
[www.nra.org](http://www.nra.org)  
 800-672-3888

The Second Amendment Foundation  
[www.saf.org](http://www.saf.org)  
 425- 454-7012

Gateway Civil Liberties Alliance  
<http://www.gclastl.org/>  
 866 385-GUNS (4867)

Missouri Sport Shooting Association  
[www.missourisportshooting.org/](http://www.missourisportshooting.org/)

Western Missouri Shooters Alliance  
[www.wmsa.net](http://www.wmsa.net)

Missouri Carry  
 Online Forum  
[www.missouricarry.com](http://www.missouricarry.com)

Arming Women Against Rape and Endangerment  
[www.aware.org](http://www.aware.org)  
 877-672-9273

Women Against Gun Control  
[www.wagc.com](http://www.wagc.com)  
 801-328-9660

NewsMax.com  
[www.newsmax.com](http://www.newsmax.com)

The Washington Times  
[www.washtimes.com](http://www.washtimes.com)

The London Telegraph  
[www.telegraph.co.uk](http://www.telegraph.co.uk)

The Drudge Report  
[www.drudgereport.com](http://www.drudgereport.com)